

1 CARLETON R. BURCH, ESQ.
2 Nevada Bar No. 010527
3 crb@amclaw.com
4 BRIAN L. BRADFORD, ESQ.
5 Nevada Bar No. 009518
6 blb@amclaw.com
7 ANDERSON, McPHARLIN & CONNERS LLP
8 777 North Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 145
9 Las Vegas, Nevada 89107
10 Telephone: (702) 479-1010
11 Facsimile: (702) 479-1025

12 Attorneys for Defendant
13 Alpha-Omega Change Engineering, Inc.

14

15 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

16 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

17 MARY MAUREEN MINSHEW,

18 Plaintiff,

19 vs.

20 THE HONORABLE MICHAEL B.
21 DONLEY, SECRETARY OF THE AIR
22 FORCE; GEORGE SALTON; LT. COL.
23 KURT BERGO; and ALPHA-OMEGA
24 CHANGE ENGINEERING, a Virginia
25 Corporation,

26 Defendants.

27 Case No. 2:10-cv-01593-PMP-PAL

28 **DEFENDANT ALPHA-OMEGA CHANGE
ENGINEERING'S MOTION TO FILE
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
UNDER SEAL**

29 For the reasons explained below, Defendant Alpha-Omega Change Engineering (“AOCE”)
30 moves for an order approving the filing under seal of its Motion for Summary Judgment.

31 On March 11, 2011, the Court entered a Consent Protective Order. [Doc. 66]. The parties
32 sought such a protective order based on, among other things, the anticipated production and discussion
33 of confidential records protected under the Privacy Act. The Court disapproved the parties’ provisions
34 as to filing documents under seal. Instead, the Court entered a separate Order [Doc. 67] instructing the
35 parties to file any applicable motion under seal, along with a contemporaneous motion to file under
36 seal, in accordance with the Court’s CM/ECF filing procedures and consistent with *Kamakana v. City*
37 and *County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006).

38

935220.1 5911.001

2:10-cv-01593-PMP-PAL

DEFENDANT ALPHA-OMEGA CHANGE ENGINEERING'S MOTION TO FILE MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT UNDER SEAL

1 In *Kamakana*, the court explained that there was a heightened “compelling reasons” standard
 2 for sealing dispositive motions and exhibits thereto. Compelling reasons to retain a seal on court
 3 records may include the protection of confidential financial information, third-party medical records,
 4 personnel files, and trade secrets. *Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1136 (9 Cir.
 5 2003). Here, AOCE has taken reasonable steps to discuss the issues in the Motion for Summary
 6 Judgment without reference to sensitive information. Despite these efforts, the Motion necessarily
 7 contains documents and testimony that has been deemed confidential pursuant to the Parties Consent
 8 Protective Order. See Doc. 66. Pursuant to said Order, all discovery exchanged in this case is
 9 presumed to be confidential. Likewise, the materials supporting the instant dispositive motion are
 10 within the scope of the Court’s Order. Specifically, the Motion contains documents, such as a contract
 11 between the Air Force and AOCE, which may reveal trade secrets in a derivative manner. Further, the
 12 deposition testimony relied upon in the Motion may contain sensitive professional history that is
 13 further protected under the Privacy Act.

14 AOCE concedes overall that some or all of the documents contained in its motion may not
 15 comport with *Kamakana*. However, in light of the Consent Protective Order in place, AOCE
 16 respectfully submits this Motion out of an abundance of caution and in the spirit of a good faith
 17 attempt to comply with the Parties Consent Protective Order.

18 ...
 19 ...
 20 ...
 21 ...
 22 ...
 23 ...
 24 ...
 25 ...
 26 ...
 27 ...
 28 ...

ANDERSON, MCPHARLIN & CONNERS LLP
 LAWYERS
 777 NO. RAINBOW BLVD., SUITE 145
 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89107
 TEL (702) 479-1010 • FAX (702) 479-1025

1 For these reasons, AOCE brings the present Motion and submits that there is good cause to
2 submit this request that the Court issue an order to seal its Motion for Summary Judgment and
3 respective Declarations and Exhibits in Support of AOCE's Motion for Summary Judgment.

4 DATED this 28th day of February, 2012.

5 ANDERSON, McPHARLIN & CONNERS LLP


6 By


7 Brian L. Bradford

8 Carleton R. Burch, Esq.
9 Nevada Bar No. 010527
10 Brian L. Bradford, Esq.
11 Nevada Bar No. 009518
12 777 North Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 145
13 Las Vegas, Nevada 89107
14 Attorneys for Defendant
15 Alpha-Omega Change Engineering, Inc.

16 **IT IS SO ORDERED**

17 DATED: March 15, 2012

18 
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ANDERSON, MCPHARLIN & CONNERS LLP
LAWYERS
777 NO. RAINBOW BLVD., SUITE 145
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89107
TEL (702) 479-1010 • FAX (702) 479-1025